Electronically filed February 5, 2014 BRETT A. AXELROD, ESQ. 1 Nevada Bar No. 5859 2 MICAELA RUSTIA MOORE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9676 3 FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 262-6899 5 Facsimile: (702) 597-5503 6 Email: baxelrod@foxrothschild.com mmoore@foxrothschild.com 7 [Proposed] Counsel for Martifer Solar USA, Inc. and Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC 8 9 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 10 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 11 Case Nos. BK-S-14-10355-abl and In re FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 3800 Howard Highes Parkway, Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 262-5899 (702) 597-5503 (fax) BK-S-14-10357-abl 12 MARTIFER AURORA SOLAR, LLC, a 13 Nevada limited liability company, Jointly Administered under Case No. BK-S-14-10355-abl 14 ☐ Affects Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC Affects Martifer Solar USA, Inc. Chapter 11 15 AMENDED SECOND MOTION FOR 16 ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 364 17 AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 4001(c): (I) **AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO OBTAIN** 18 POSTPETITION FINANCING; (II) **GRANTING RELATED RELIEF; AND** 19 (III) SCHEDULING FINAL HEARING 20 21 Hearing Date: **OST PENDING** Hearing Time: **OST PENDING** 22 Martifer Solar USA, Inc. ("Martifer Solar USA") and Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC ("Aurora", 23 and collectively with Martifer Solar USA, the "Debtors"), debtors and debtors in possession in the 24 above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases"), respectfully submit this amended motion 25 26 (the "Motion") for entry of a an interim order (the "Interim Order") and final order (the "Final Order") pursuant to section 364 of title 11 of the United States Code, §§ 101 et. seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code"), 27

ACTIVE 24667898v1 02/05/2014

28

Rule 4001(c) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), and Rules

liquidity for the ongoing operation of Debtors' businesses and to fund the expenses of the Chapter 11 Cases. A copy of the Amended DIP Agreement¹ is attached as **Exhibit "1"** to the Declaration of Klaus Berhhart (the "Bernhart Declaration") filed in support hereof. Debtors are seeking to use the Postpetition Financing pursuant to a Cash Budget, a copy of which (pertaining to the first 8-week period following the Petition Date) is attached to the Amended DIP Agreement as **Exhibit "A"** thereto (the "Initial Cash Budget").

4001(b) and (c) of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice for the United States Bankruptcy Court,

In compliance with Bankruptcy Rule 4001(c)(1)(B), Debtors provide the following additional information regarding the proposed Postpetition Financing. Nothing in the following summary alters or amends the terms of the Amended DIP Agreement or the proposed Interim Order, and to the extent of any conflict between the following summary and the Amended DIP Agreement or the proposed Interim Order, the Amended DIP Agreement or the Interim Order, as applicable, shall control:

CITATION TO AMENDED DIP AGREEMENT/ INTERIM ORDER ²	MATERIAL PROVISIONS OF POSTPETITION FINANCING
Amended DIP Agreement,	Interest Rate: Each Loan shall bear interest at a rate per annum of

Amended DIP Agreement, § 2.5
Interim Order, ¶5

¹ Capitalized terms used, but not defined, herein shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in the DIP Agreement.

² The proposed Interim Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit "1."**

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 262-6899 (702) 597-5503 (fax)

million

dollars

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 3800 Howard Highes Parkway, Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 262-5899 (702) 597-5503 (fax)

27

28

or before 160 days after the Petition Date, obtain an order approving the Disclosure Statement; and (iii) on or before 200 days after the

CITATION TO AMENDED DIP AGREEMENT/ INTERIM ORDER ²	MATERIAL PROVISIONS OF POSTPETITION FINANCI	
	Petition Date, obtain an order approving the Reorganization Plan	
Amended DIP Agreement, § 1.1	Carve-Out: DIP Superpriority Claim is subject to a carve-out for (in fees required to be paid to the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court and to Office of the United States Trustee pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1930, (ii) an amount not exceeding two million dollars (\$2,000,000) in	
Interim Order, ¶ 8	aggregate, which amount may be used after the occurrence and during the continuation of an Event of Default, to pay the fees and expenses professionals retained by Debtors and any Committee and allowed (allowable) by the Bankruptcy Court (as to which allowed or allowable fees and expenses Lender waives any right to seek disgorgement provided, however, that Debtors shall be permitted to procompensation and reimbursement of expenses allowed, allowable otherwise authorized by the Bankruptcy Court and payable und Bankruptcy Code sections 330 and 331 in accordance with the Carbudget, the Carve-Out shall not be reduced by the amount of an compensation and reimbursement of expenses paid or incurred (to the extent ultimately allowed or allowable by the Bankruptcy Court) print to the occurrence of an Event of Default in respect of which the Carve Out is invoked, and Lender waives any right to seek disgorgement thereof; and provided, further, that nothing herein shall be construed impair the ability of Lender to object to the reasonableness of any the fees, expenses, reimbursement or compensation sought by the professionals retained by Debtors or any Committee.	
Types of Provisions Identified in Bankruptcy Rules 4001(c)(1)(B)(i) through (xi)		
Amended DIP Agreement, §2.10	(i) Grant of priority/lien under 11 U.S.C. § 364(c) or (d): Only DIP Superpriority Claims, no liens see above.	
Interim Order, ¶ 7		
N/A	(ii) Adequate protection for pre-petition claims: None.	
N/A	(iii) Determination re: pre-petition lien/claim: None.	
N/A	(iv) Waiver/modification of the automatic stay: None.	
Amended DIP Agreement,	(v) Waiver/modification of rights re: chapter 11 plan, of	

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 262-6899 (702) 597-5503 (fax)

CITATION TO AMENDED DIP AGREEMENT/ INTERIM ORDER ²	MATERIAL PROVISIONS OF POSTPETITION FINANCING	
	disclosure statement other than Debtors' Reorganization Plan, unless such Reorganization Plan provides for payment in full of the Obligations on the effective date thereof.	
Amended DIP Agreement, § 4.2(d)	(vi) Confirmation/disclosure statement deadlines: 120 days to file Reorganization Plan and Disclosure Statement reasonably acceptable to Lender. 160 days to obtain order approving the Disclosure Statement. 200 days to obtain order approving the Reorganization Plan.	
N/A	(vii) Wavier/modification of lien rights under non-bankruptcy law: None.	
N/A	(viii) Release of estate cause of action: None.	
N/A	(ix) Indemnification: None.	
N/A	(x) 506(c) waiver: None.	
Amended DIP Agreement, § 2.11	(xi) Lien/claim on avoidance actions: No lien. DIP Superpriority Claim would apply to proceeds of avoidance actions.	
Interim Order, ¶ 7		
///		

19 | | ///

20 | | ///

21 | ///

22 | | ///

///

24 | | ///

25 | | ///

26 | | ///

27 | | ///

28 | //

This Motion is made and based upon the following memorandum of points and authorities, the Omnibus Declaration of Klaus Bernhart (the "Omnibus Declaration") [Dkt# 15], the Bernhart Declaration, the Declaration of James Wong (the "Wong Declaration"), the papers and pleadings on file with the Court in the Chapter 11 Cases, and any oral arguments the Court may entertain at the hearing on the Motion.

DATED this 5th day of February, 2014.

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

By_	/s/Brett Axelrod
-	BRETT A. AXELROD, ESQ.
	Nevada Bar No. 5859
	MICAELA RUSTIA MOORE, ESQ.
	Nevada Bar No. 9676
	3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
[Pro	pposed] Counsel for Martifer Solar USA, Inc
and	Martifer Aurora Solar IIC

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MEMORANDUM OF LAW POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

JURISDICTION

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction to consider and determine this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
 - 2. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 3. The statutory bases for the relief requested herein are Bankruptcy Code section 364, Bankruptcy Rule 4001(c) and Local Rules 4001(b) and (c).

II.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

General Background.

- On January 21, 2014 (the "Petition Date"), Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 5. Each of the Debtors is continuing in possession of its property and is operating and managing its business, as a debtor in possession, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108. See generally Chapter 11 Case Docket.
- No request has been made for the appointment of a trustee or examiner, and no statutory 6. committee has been appointed. See id.
- 7. The factual background relating to Debtors' commencement of this Chapter 11 Case is set forth in detail in the Omnibus Declaration and is incorporated for all purposes herein by this reference.

Debtors' Need for the Postpetition Financing.

8. As described in greater detail in the Bernhart Declaration, with the added expenses associated with the Chapter 11 Cases, Debtors require additional financing in order maintain operations and remain current on expenses. Operationally, Debtors require financing to ensure uninterrupted payment of expenses for the operation of their businesses, including payroll and other general and administrative expenses, paying subcontractors and all other operational needs. Debtors also need to

pay expenses associated with the Chapter 11 Cases, including professional fees and expenses and United States Trustee fees.

- 9. Although the Debtors have obtained interim Court approval for the use of cash collateral through the week of March 10, 2014, they do not have sufficient cash on hand to fund the expenses of operation during the crucial first few weeks of the Chapter 11 Cases. As set forth in the Initial Cash Budget, Debtors will need to borrow over one million dollars (\$1,000,00) through the week of March 10, 2014 in order to meet their operating expenses, make interest-only adequate protection payments to the Pre-Petition Lender and fund expenses for the administration of the Chapter 11 Cases. The Initial Cash Budget revenues are based on Debtor's internally-prepared projections—if actual revenues do not meet projections, then Debtors will need to increase borrowings under the Postpetition Financing above the amounts set forth in the Initial Cash Budget.
- 10. Therefore, in order to ensure that Debtors will have sufficient liquidity to withstand fluctuations in the collection of receivables, and to fund the costs of administering the Chapter 11 Cases, Debtors believe that they may require up to five million dollars (\$5,000,000) in postpetition financing. With these funding requirements in mind, Debtors negotiated the Amended DIP Agreement with Lender, which provides for up to five million dollars (\$5,000,000) in Postpetition Financing borrowings.

III.

RELIEF REQUESTED

- 11. By this Motion, Debtors seek authorization to obtain the Postpetition Financing from Lender pursuant to the Amended DIP Agreement. Debtors are seeking to use Postpetition Financing pursuant to a Cash Budget, a copy of which (pertaining to the first 13-week period) is attached to the Amended DIP Agreement as **Exhibit "1"** thereto (the "<u>Initial Cash Budget</u>").
- 12. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(c)(2), Debtors are seeking authorization to use Postpetition Financing pending a final hearing on the Motion in order to avoid

¹ As set forth in the Initial Cash Budget (note 10), Aurora is not anticipated to incur any cash receipts or any cash disbursements during the period covered by the Initial Cash Budget, but professional fees and other costs of administration for its Chapter 11 Case will continue to accrue.

immediate and irreparable harm to the estates. In order to keep Debtors' business operational, Debtors must be able to pay their subcontractors for work performed, satisfy other ongoing working capital needs and expenses of operation, including, without limitation, employee payroll expenses, and fund the costs of administering Debtors' estates, including without limitation, fees assessed by the Office of the United States Trustee and the Clerk of Court and fees and expenses of estate professionals.

- 13. As indicated by the Initial Cash Budget, Debtors project that they will need to incur over \$1,000,000 in Postpetition Financing borrowings through the first eight weeks after the Petition Date (ending with the week of March 10, 2014). Most importantly, the Initial Cash Budget demonstrates that Debtors will not have sufficient liquidity to make key payments projected for the first few weeks of the Chapter 11 Cases without borrowings under the Postpeittion Financing. The Postpetition Financing is necessary from the very outset of the Chapter 11 Cases in order to fund operational shortfalls. Accordingly, timely approval of the proposed Postpetition Financing is critical to preserving the going concern vale of Debtors' estates.
- 14. In addition, Debtors are requesting that the Court set a final hearing on the proposed Postpetition Financing. Debtors request that the Court set the final hearing on March 10, 2014, concurrently with the final hearing on the Debtors' motion for the use of cash collateral. Obtaining certainty regarding Debtors' ability to use Postpetition Financing will enable Debtors and their professionals to focus complete attention on the development and implementation of Debtors' plan of reorganization. In addition, the Amended DIP Agreement provides that an Event of Default will occur if the Final Order is not entered within forty-five (45) days of the date that the Motion to approve the Amended DIP Agreement is filed (unless otherwise agreed by Debtors and Lender).

IV.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

Debtors Have Satisfied the Legal Requirements for Approval of the Postpetition Financing.

- A. Debtors Cannot Obtain Financing on Terms More Favorable than Those of the Amended DIP Agreement.
- 15. As a debtor in possession, each of the Debtors is authorized to operate its business under the Bankruptcy Code. See 11 U.S.C. § 1108. Bankruptcy Code section 364(a) provides that a debtor in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

possession may obtain unsecured credit as an administrative expense in the ordinary course of business without court approval. 11 U.S.C. § 364(a). Bankruptcy Code section 364(b) provides that a debtor in possession may obtain unsecured credit as an administrative expense outside of the ordinary course of business with Court authorization after notice and a hearing. 11 U.S.C. § 364(b).

- 16. If credit on an administrative expense basis is not available, then pursuant to section 364(c) of the Bankruptcy, upon court approval after notice and a hearing, a debtor in possession may obtain credit:
 - (1) with priority over any or all administrative expenses of the kind specified in section 503(b) or 507(a) of [the Bankruptcy Code];
 - (2) secured by a lien on property of the estate that is not otherwise subject to a lien; or
 - (3) secured by a junior lien on property of the estate that is subject to a

11 U.S.C. § 364(c). Parties who extend credit are protected under section 364(e) from the effects of a reversal on appeal of the authorization to incur debt as long as they have acted in good faith. 11 U.S.C. § 364(e).

- 17. Other than the requirement of notice and a hearing, the only statutory prerequisite under Bankruptcy Code section 364(c) for obtaining credit on a superpriority basis is that the debtor in possession must not be unable to obtain unsecured credit allowable as an administrative expense under section 503(b)(1). 11 U.S.C. § 364(c); see also, In re Garland Corp., 6 B.R. 456, 461 n. 11 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1980); In re Ames Dept. Stores, Inc., 115 B.R. 34, 37-39 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1990) (debtor must show that it has made a reasonable effort to seek other sources of financing under Bankruptcy Code sections 364(a) and (b).
- 18. To demonstrate that the requisite credit is not obtainable on an unsecured basis, the debtor need only demonstrate "by good faith effort that credit was not available" without the protections afforded to potential lenders by Bankruptcy Code section 364(c). Bray v. Shenandoah Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n (In re Snowshoe Co.), 789 F.2d 1085, 1088 (4th Cir. 1986). Thus, "[t]he statute imposes no duty to seek credit from every possible lender before concluding that such credit is unavailable." Id. at 1088; see also In re Ames, 115 B.R. at 40 (holding that debtor made a reasonable effort to secure financing when it selected the least onerous financing option from the two remaining lenders);

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In re Reading Tube Indus., 72 B.R. 329, 332 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1987) ("Given the 'time is of the essence") nature of this type of financing, we would not require this or any debtor to contact a seemingly infinite number of possible lenders."). Where few lenders are likely to be able and willing to extend the necessary credit to a debtor, "it would be unrealistic and unnecessary to require [the debtor] to conduct such an exhaustive search for financing." In re Sky Valley, Inc., 100 B.R. 107, 113 (Bankr. N.D. Ga. 1988), aff'd sub nom., Anchor Savings Bank FSB v. Sky Valley, Inc., 99 B.R. 117, 120 n. 4 (N.D. Ga. 1989).

- 19. Debtors' particular financial circumstances make it exceedingly unlikely that it could obtain credit without offering the protections of at least a superpriority claim. As of the Petition Date, all of Debtors' personal property (other than Avoidance Actions) was encumbered by liens in favor of the Pre-Petition Lender. Accordingly, there are no unencumbered assets (other than Avoidance Actions) nor any readily available funds that could be used to secure or repay post-petition financing on an unsecured administrative expense claim basis. In addition, Debtors' chief source of revenue to service or repay postpetition financing is the proceeds of accounts receivable, which proceeds (in addition to being part of the Cathay Collateral) are subject to fluctuations in terms of timing.
- 20. Under these circumstances, the price of "new money" postpetition financing would have been prohibitive for Debtors. Even if a previously uninvolved party could get comfortable with the level of risk attendant to financing the Chapter 11 Cases, it undoubtedly would demand a hefty cost premium as part of any financing proposal in order to compensate for such risk. While an existing lender often will be a viable option for postpetition financing, the Pre-Petition Lender was not interested in increasing its credit exposure beyond the current scope of borrowings (and certainly not on an unsecured administrative claim basis). Therefore, Debtors had few options when it came to locating a cost-effective source of funding for the Chapter 11 Cases. See Wong Declaration.
- 21. Fortunately, Lender is willing to provide the Postpetition Financing on eminently reasonable terms under the circumstances. Although Lender was not willing to provide the Postpetition Financing on an unsecured administrative claim basis, Lender has agreed to forego any security for the Postpetition Financing and has only required the protection of the DIP Superpriority Claim (which will be junior to the Prepetition Lender's Superpriority Claim and also subject to the Carve-Out). The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

interest rate and other terms of the Postpetition Financing are favorable in today's market. See § C, infra. And Lender has agreed negotiate in good faith with Debtors to reach agreement on the terms of Debtors' Reorganization Plan, which would provide, inter alia, for the full amount of Obligations outstanding on the effective date of Debtors' Reorganization Plan to be converted to equity in the reorganized Martifer Solar USA on terms acceptable to the Lender. Debtors canvassed the market and was not able to find any other readily available funding source willing to provide credit to Debtors on an unsecured administrative expense claim basis, much less on terms as favorable as the Postpetition Financing. See Wong Declaration and Bernhart Declaration. Therefore, Debtors determined in the exercise of their business judgment that the Postpetition Financing to be provided by the Lender is most favorable under the current circumstances and addresses the Debtors' working capital needs. Debtors do not believe that they could obtain proposals for the immediate postpetition financing that Debtors require on terms and conditions more favorable to the Debtors' estates than those proposed herein.

22. Therefore, the Postpetition Financing satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 364(c) because Debtors could not obtain the necessary credit solely on the basis of an administrative expense claim under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b).

B. Debtors' Decision to Enter into the Amended DIP Agreement Is Supported by Sound **Business Judgment.**

23. Courts generally give broad deference to the business decisions of a debtor. See, e.g., Stephens Indus., Inc. v. McClung, 789 F.2d 386, 390 (6th Cir. 1986); In re Continental Air Lines, Inc., 780 F.2d 1223, 1226 (5th Cir. 1986); In re Lionel Corp., 722 F.2d 1063, 1070 (2d Cir. 1983); Walter v. Sunwest Bank (In re Walter), 83 B.R. 14, 19-20 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1987). In particular, a bankruptcy court should defer to a debtor's reasonable business judgment regarding the need for funds, so long as the proposed financing agreement does not contain terms that either leverage the bankruptcy process or that benefit a third party rather than the bankruptcy estate. See, e.g., In re Trans World Airlines, Inc. 163 B.R. 964, 974 (Bankr. D. Del. 1994) (noting that an interim loan, receivables facility and assetbased facility were approved because they "reflect[ed] sound and prudent business judgment ..., [were] reasonable under the circumstances and in the best interest of [the debtor] and its creditors"). This was

explained by the bankruptcy court in <u>In re Ames Department Stores, Inc.</u>, 115 B.R. 34 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1990):

[A] court's discretion under section 364 is to be utilized on grounds that permit reasonable business judgment to be exercised so long as the financing agreement does not contain terms that leverage the bankruptcy process and powers or its purpose is not so much to benefit the estate as it is to benefit a party-in-interest.

Id. at 40.

- 24. Here, Debtors' decision to enter into the Postpetition Financing represents a reasonable exercise of business judgment. As demonstrated by the Initial Cash Budget, Debtors have an immediate need for the Postpetition Financing in order to fund operations and the expenses of the Chapter 11 Cases. Debtors and Lender negotiated the terms of the proposed Postpetition Financing at arms' length in good faith, and Lender was represented by independent counsel. Lender is willing to provide the Postpetition Financing on favorable terms due to its existing affiliation with Debtors. See § C, infra. Lender also has agreed to convert the full balance of the Postpetition Financing into equity in reorganized Martifer Solar USA, subject to reaching agreement on the terms of a chapter 11 plan.
- 25. Without the Postpetition Financing, Debtors would not be able to fund operations and proceed with a chapter 11 plan. Instead, Debtors would be faced with the potential for administrative insolvency followed by a liquidation. Given the choice between these two alternatives, Debtors prudently negotiated the Amended DIP Agreement with Lender to ensure that Debtors would have the necessary funding to bring the Chapter 11 Cases to a successful conclusion. Therefore, the Court should approve Debtors' decision to enter into the Amended DIP Agreement as an exercise of sound business judgment.

C. The Terms of the Postpetition Financing are Reasonable Under The Circumstances And Should Be Approved.

26. The terms of the Amended DIP Agreement are similar to those often included in complex financing arrangements. Indeed, the Amended DIP Agreement and the proposed Interim Order reflect the give and take that result from complex financing negotiations. See Wong Declaration. Courts have recognized that a debtor often must make significant concessions in exchange for financing. See, e.g., In re Ellingsen MacLean Oil Co., 65 B.R. 358, 365 (Bankr. W.D. Mich. 1986),

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

aff'd, 834 F.2d 599 (6th Cir. 1987) (chapter 11 postpetition financing is "fraught with dangers for creditors ... "). Accordingly, courts recognize that a debtor may need to "enter into a hard bargain with a creditor in order to acquire the needed funds to complete reorganization." Id. at 365.

- 27. Similarly, lenders often agree to subordinate or "carve-out" from their collateral funds to pay professionals. See Harvis Trien & Beck, P.C. v. Federal Home Mortgage Corp. (In re Blackwood Assocs., L.P.), 187 B.R. 856, 860 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1995) (court advised that if professionals really want to be paid they had best insist upon a "real carve out"); In re Ames, 115 B.R. at 40 (noting practice of district to insist on carve-out for fees in order to preserve adversary system).
- 28. As noted above, the terms of the Amended DIP Agreement are favorable under the circumstances. Lender has agreed to forego any security for the Postpetition Financing and instead limit its protection to the DIP Superpriority Claim. Thus, this is not a case where an over-reaching (and/or oversecured) pre-petition lender seeks to enhance its collateral package at the expense of unsecured creditors. Instead, Lender simply requested reasonable protections to ensure repayment of the Postpetition Financing.
- 29. Similarly, the interest rate of nine percent (9.0%) is reflective of the competitive rates available in the market for financing on an unsecured, superpriority basis. See Wong Declaration.
- 30. Furthermore, the Amended DIP Agreement provides for a reasonable Carve-Out, which covers fees and expenses of professionals employed by a statutory committee (if appointed) as well as professionals employed by Debtors. The Events of Default and conditions to borrowing are generally customary in postpetition financing. Lender does not unduly seek to control or restrict Debtors' ability to prosecute the Chapter 11 Cases with limitations or prohibitions on Debtors' ability to use cash collateral or propose a plan.
- 31. The Amended DIP Agreement provides for an Event of Default to occur if, as of February 23, 2014, the Pre-Petition Lender shall not have been stayed or enjoined, or shall not have refrained in writing, from the prosecution of claims against the Lender, Martifer Solar, S.A. and their affiliates (other than Debtors). Lender, along with its parent company, Martifer Solar, S.A., is a guarantor of the Debtors' obligations to the Pre-Petition Lender, and it is willing to make the substantial financial contribution to Debtors' reorganization in the form of the Postpetition Financing so long as it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

is not required to simultaneously defend itself from efforts by the Pre-Petition Lender to enforce the guaranty. The February 23 trigger for this Event of Default provides time for Lender to attempt to negotiate a standstill with the Prepetition Lender, or for Debtors to seek injunctive relief if a consensual standstill cannot be negotiated. Moreover, Debtors will have the ability to borrow nearly \$700,000 under the Amended DIP Agreement before the February 23 trigger for this Event of Default is reached.

32. Therefore, the Court should approve the Postpetition Financing based on its fair and reasonable terms.

D. The Court Should Schedule Interim and Final Hearings On This Motion Pursuant To Bankruptcy Rule 4001(c)(2).

- 33. Bankruptcy Rule 4001(c)(2) provides that a final hearing on a motion to obtain credit pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 364 may be commenced not earlier than fourteen (14) days after service of the motion. Upon request, however, the Bankruptcy Court is empowered to conduct an expedited hearing on the motion and authorize the obtaining of credit to the extent necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm to a debtor's estate.
- 34. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(c)(2) and Local Rule 9006, Debtors requests the Court conduct an expedited interim hearing on the Motion (the "Interim Hearing") and, after the entry of the Interim Order, allow Debtors to enter the Amended DIP Agreement, borrow funds in accordance therewith. Debtors have an urgent and immediate need for cash to fund chapter 11 administration expenses and operations, as set forth in the Initial Cash Budget. See ¶¶ 12-13, supra. Debtors could not obtain financing on terms more favorable than presented herein, for the reasons set forth above. See §A, supra. Debtors submit that the terms of the proposed Postpetition Financing are fair, reasonable and in the best interests of the Debtors' estates. See §C, supra. Therefore, entry of the Interim Order is proper under Bankruptcy Rule 4001(c)(2).
- 35. Also pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(c)(2), Debtors request that the Court schedule the final hearing on the Motion on March 10, 2014, concurrently with the final hearing on Debtors' motion for the use of cash collateral. Obtaining certainty regarding Debtors' ability to use Postpetition Financing is a key step for Debtors to stabilize operations as chapter 11 debtors in possession, which will then enable Debtors and their professionals to focus complete attention on the development and

implementation of a plan of reorganization.

V.

NOTICE

36. Notice of this Motion is being given by electronic mail, U.S. Mail or the Court's ECF noticing to the following parties or their counsel: (a) the Office of the United States Trustee for the District of Nevada, Attn: J. Michal Bloom, Esq.; (b) counsel to Lender; (c) counsel to Pre-Petition Lender; (d) Debtors' known twenty largest unsecured creditors; and (e) all other parties requesting notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. In light of the nature of the relief requested, Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is necessary.

VI.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, based upon all the foregoing, as set forth in this Memorandum, the Motion, the Omnibus Declaration, the Bernhart Declaration, the Wong Declaration and all other papers, documents, or other evidence submitted in support of the Motion, Debtors respectfully request that the Court grant the Motion in its entirety and: (1) approve the Postpetition Financing on an interim basis; (2) enter the Interim Order, in substantially the form attached hereto as **Exhibit "1;"** (3) schedule a final hearing on this Motion on March 10, 2014, concurrently with the final hearing on Debtors' motion for the use of cash collateral; (4) in conjunction with the final hearing, enter the Final Order authorizing Debtors to borrow on a final basis under the terms of the Loan Documents; and (5) grant to Debtors such other relief as the Court deems necessary and appropriate.

DATED this 4th day of February, 2014.

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

/s/Brett Axelrod
BRETT A. AXELROD, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 5859
MICAELA RUSTIA MOORE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9676
3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
pposed] Counsel for Martifer Solar USA, Inc.
Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC

EXHIBIT "1" PROPOSED INTERIM ORDER BRETT A. AXELROD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5859 MICAELA RUSTIA MOORE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9676 FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 262-6899 Facsimile: (702) 597-5503 Email: baxelrod@foxrothschild.com mmoore@foxrothschild.com [Proposed] Counsel for Martifer Solar USA, Inc. and Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re	Case Nos. BK-S-14-10355-abl and BK-S-14-10357-abl
MARTIFER AURORA SOLAR, LLC, Nevada limited liability company,	Jointly Administered under Case No. BK-S-14-10355-abl
Two vada ininted nationally company,	Chapter 11
 ☐ Affects Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC ☐ Affects Martifer Solar USA, Inc. ☑ Affects all Debtors 	INTERIM ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 364 AND FED. R. BANKR. P. RULE 4001(C): (I) AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO OBTAIN POSTPETITION FINANCING; (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF; AND (III) SCHEDULING FINAL HEARING
	Hearing Date: OST PENDING Hearing Time: OST PENDING

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

The Court, having reviewed and considered the Second Motion (the "Motion") filed by Martifer Solar USA, Inc. ("Martifer USA") and Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC ("Aurora", and collectively with Martifer USA, "<u>Debtors</u>") for entry of an interim order (the "<u>Interim Order</u>") and final order (the "<u>Final</u> Order") pursuant to section 364 of title 11 of the United States Code, §§ 101 et. seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 4001(c) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), and Rules 4001(b) and (c) of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice for the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Nevada ("Local Rules") authorizing and approving, among other things, (a) Debtors to obtain postpetition financing (the "Postpetition Financing") from Martifer Solar, Inc. (the "Lender") on an unsecured, superpriority basis pursuant to the First Amended and Re-Stated Debtor-In-Possession Credit Agreement (the "Amended DIP Agreement"), and (b) scheduling a final hearing with respect to the relief requested herein; and it appearing that the relief requested is in the best interests of Debtors' estates, creditors and all other parties in interest; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and consideration of the Motion and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and the Court having reviewed and considered all other pleadings and evidence submitted by the parties in connection with the Motion; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been provided; and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and the Court having considered the oral arguments of counsel at the hearings held on February ___, 2014 (the "Interim Hearing"); and the Court having made findings of fact and conclusions of law on the record, which (to the extent not expressly set forth below) are incorporated herein pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, made applicable to these proceedings by Bankruptcy Rule 7052; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

Background, Jurisdiction and Notice. I.

On January 21 2014 (the "Petition Date"), Debtors commenced the above-captioned A. bankruptcy cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases") by filing voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the Bankruptcy Code. Each of the Debtors is continuing to operate its business as a debtor-inpossession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107(a) and 1108. No trustee, examiner, or statutory committee has been appointed.

- B. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b) and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- C. Debtors have complied with the requirements of Bankruptcy Rules 4001(c) and 4001(d), and Local Rules 4001(b), 4001(c) and 9006, requiring, among other things, that the Interim Hearing be held on less than twenty-one (21) days' notice by serving the Motion and providing notice of the Interim Hearing to: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Debtors' twenty (20) largest unsecured creditors; (iii) counsel to Lender; (iv) counsel to the Pre-Petition Lender; and (v) all other parties requesting notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. Given the nature of the relief sought in the Motion, the Court concludes that the foregoing notice was sufficient and adequate under the circumstances and complies with Bankruptcy Rule 4001 in all respects.

Findings Regarding the Postpetition Financing Based on the Record at the Hearing. II.

Debtors have an immediate and critical need to obtain the Postpetition Financing. D. Debtors' ability to obtain the Postpetition Financing is critical to their ability to continue as a going concern during the course of the Chapter 11 Cases. The proceeds of the Postpetition Financing will be used to fund the costs of administering Debtors' estates, including, without limitation, (i) funding the operations of Debtors' businesses, (ii) making adequate protection payments to the Pre-Petition Lender, (iii) paying expenses incurred for the administration of the Chapter 11 Cases, including paying reasonable compensation of professional fees and expenses, (iv) paying any contractual obligations, and (v) repaying Loans. Debtors' access to sufficient liquidity through the incurrence of the Postpetition Financing under the terms of this Interim Order is vital to the preservation and maintenance of the going concern value of Debtors' estates and to Debtors' successful reorganization. Consequently, without the ability to obtain Postpetition Financing to the extent authorized pursuant to this Interim Order, Debtors and their estates would suffer immediate and irreparable harm.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

E. The use of	cash collateral alone would be insufficient to meet Debtors' immediate	
postpetition liquidity needs	s. Debtors are unable to obtain adequate unsecured credit allowable unde	
Bankruptcy Code sections 3	364(b) and 503(b)(1). The Postpetition Financing reflects the most favorable	
terms available to Debtors.	Debtors require the Postpetition Financing, in addition to the use of cash	
collateral, to satisfy their postpetition liquidity needs.		

- F. Lender has indicated a willingness to provide Debtors with the Postpetition Financing, but solely on the terms and conditions set forth in this Interim Order and in the Loan Documents (as defined in the Amended DIP Agreement). After considering all alternatives, Debtors have concluded, in an exercise of their sound business judgment, that the financing to be provided by Lender pursuant to the terms of this Interim Order and the Loan Documents represents the best financing presently available to Debtors.
- G. The DIP Superiority Claims granted pursuant to this Interim Order to Lender are appropriate under Bankruptcy Code sections 364(c)(1) because, among other things, Debtors could not obtain unsecured credit allowable under section 503(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code as an administrative expense.
- H. Entry of this Interim Order is in the best interest of Debtors, the estates and creditors. The terms of the Loan Documents are fair and reasonable under the circumstances, reflect Debtors' exercise of prudent business judgment consistent with their fiduciary duties, and are supported by reasonably equivalent value and fair consideration.
- Debtors and Lender have negotiated the terms and conditions of the Loan Documents and this Interim Order in good faith and at arm's-length, and any credit extended and loans made to Debtors pursuant to this Interim Order shall be, and hereby are, deemed to have been extended, issued or made, as the case may be, in "good faith" within the meaning of Bankruptcy Code section 364(e).
- Based on the foregoing, and upon the record made before this Court at the Hearing, and J. good and sufficient cause appearing therefor;

III. Disposition.

The Motion is granted on an interim basis on the terms and conditions set forth in this 1. Interim Order. This Interim Order shall become effective immediately upon its entry. To the extent the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

terms of the Loan Documents differ in any material respect from the terms of this Interim Order, this Interim Order shall control.

IV. Authorization of the Postpetition Financing and Entry Into the Loan Documents.

- 2. The terms and conditions of the Amended DIP Agreement are hereby approved on an emergency, interim basis. Debtors are hereby authorized to enter into the Loan Documents, including the Amended DIP Agreement and such additional documents, instruments and agreements as may be reasonably required by Lender to implement the terms or effectuate the purposes of this Interim Order. Immediately upon entry of this Interim Order, Debtors are hereby authorized to borrow under the Loan Documents up to the Initial Advance Amount, in accordance with this Interim Order, the Amended DIP Agreement, and the other Loan Documents.
- 3. Debtors hereby are authorized to incur the Obligations solely in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the Amended DIP Agreement and this Interim Order.

Loan Obligations.

- 4. Upon execution and delivery of the Loan Documents, the Loan Documents shall constitute valid, binding and continuing obligations of Debtors, enforceable against Debtors in accordance with the terms thereof. No obligation, payment, or transfer under the Loan Documents or this Interim Order shall be stayed, restrained, voided, voidable or recoverable under the Bankruptcy Code or under any applicable non-bankruptcy law, or subject to any defense, reduction, setoff, recoupment or counterclaim.
- 5. The Loans: (i) shall bear interest payable at the rates set forth in the Amended DIP Agreement; (ii) shall be payable in accordance with the terms of the Loan Documents; and (iii) shall otherwise be governed by the terms set forth herein and in the Loan Documents.

VI. Use of Loan Proceeds.

Debtors may use the Loans pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in this Interim 6. Order and in the Loan Documents.

VII. **Grant of Superpriority Claims.**

Lender is hereby granted, subject to the Carve-Out, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code 7. sections 364(c)(1), an allowed administrative expense claims (the "DIP Superpriority Claim") in the JZ) 597-5503 (fax)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Chapter 11 Cases having priority over all claims against Debtors now existing or hereafter arising, of any kind whatsoever, including, without limitation, all other administrative expenses of the kinds and over any and all administrative expenses or other claims arising under Bankruptcy Code sections 105, 326, 328, 330, 331, 503(b), 506(c), 507(a), 507(b), 726, 1113 or 1114, subject and junior in all instances and respects in all instances and respects only to (a) the Pre-Petition Lender's Superpriority Claims, and (b) the Carve-Out.

VIII. Carve-Out.

8. The DIP Superpriority Claims shall be subject to carve-out (the "Carve-Out") for (i) all fees required to be paid to the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court and to the Office of the United States Trustee pursuant to 28 § U.S.C. 1930, and (ii) only to the extent the amounts are not available under the Cash Budget, an amount not exceeding two million dollars (\$2,000,000) in the aggregate, which amount may be used after the occurrence and during the continuation of an Event of Default (defined below), to pay the fees and expenses of professionals retained by Debtors and any statutory committee and allowed (or allowable) by the Bankruptcy Court (as to which allowed or allowable fees and expenses the Lender waives any right to seek disgorgement); provided, however, that (x) Debtors shall be permitted to pay compensation and reimbursement of expenses allowed, allowable or otherwise authorized by the Bankruptcy Court and payable under Bankruptcy Code sections 330 and 331 in accordance with the Cash Budget; (y) the Carve-Out shall not be reduced by the amount of any compensation and reimbursement of expenses paid or incurred (to the extent ultimately allowed or allowable by the Bankruptcy Court) prior to the occurrence of an Event of Default in respect of which the Carve-Out is invoked; and (z) the Lender waives any right to seek disgorgement thereof; and provided, further, that nothing herein shall be construed to impair the ability of the Lender to object to the reasonableness of any of the fees, expenses, reimbursement or compensation sought by the professionals retained by Debtors or any statutory committee.

IX. 11 U.S.C. § 364(e) Protections.

9. If any or all of the provisions of this Interim Order are hereafter reversed, modified, vacated or stayed, such reversal, modification, vacation or stay shall not affect (i) the validity of any Obligations incurred pursuant to this Interim Order or the Loan Documents, or (ii) the validity or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

enforceability of any claim or priority authorized or created hereby or pursuant to the Loan Documents with respect to any Obligations. Notwithstanding any such reversal, modification, vacation or stay, any incurrence of Obligations by Debtors pursuant to this Interim Order shall be governed in all respects by the provisions of this Interim Order and the Loan Documents, and Lender shall be entitled to all of the rights, remedies, protections and benefits granted under Bankruptcy Code section 364(e), this Interim Order, and the Loan Documents with respect to incurrence of the Obligations by Debtors.

Miscellaneous Provisions.

- 10. The provisions of this Interim Order shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of Lender, Debtors, and their respective successors and assigns. The provisions of this Interim Order and any actions taken pursuant thereto (a) shall survive the entry of any order: (i) confirming any plan of reorganization in the Chapter 11 Cases unless otherwise provided therein; (ii) converting either of the Chapter 11 Cases to one under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; or (iii) dismissing either of the Chapter 11 Cases; and (b) shall continue in full force and effect notwithstanding the entry of any such order, and the DIP Superpriority Claim granted pursuant to this Interim Order shall maintain their priority as provided by this Interim Order until all of the Obligations are indefeasibly paid in full and discharged in accordance with the terms of this Interim Order and the Amended DIP Agreement.
- 11. Debtors hereby are authorized, without further order of this Court, to enter into agreements with Lender providing for (a) non-material modifications to the Amended DIP Agreement, or (b) any other modifications to the Amended DIP Agreement necessary to conform the Amended DIP Agreement to this Interim Order.
- 12. To the extent applicable, this Interim Order is not subject to the 14-day stay provision of Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).
- 13. Debtors shall cause a copy of this Interim Order to be served within three (3) business days of its entry, by electronic mail, U.S. Mail or the Court's ECF noticing of the Interim Hearing to: (a) the Lender; (b) Pre-Petition Lender: Cathay Bank; (b) the Office of the United States Trustee for the District of Nevada, Attn: J. Michal Bloom, Esq.; (c) counsel for any statutory committee appointed in this case, and if no such committee was appointed, then to the parties listed on the List of Creditors

By /s/

Holding the 20 Largest Unsecured Claims; and (d) all other secured creditors, and all other parties requesting notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002 (the "Notice Parties").

14. A hearing to consider entry of an order granting the relief set forth in this Interim Order on a final basis (the "Final Order") shall be held on March 10, 2014, at 9:30 a.m. (the "Final Hearing") in Courtroom 1; with any objections (the "Objections") to entry of a Final Order due to be timely filed electronically with the Court and served on the Notice Parties and Debtors' counsel: Fox Rothschild, LLP, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500, Las Vegas, Nevada 89169, Attn: Brett A. Axelrod, Esq. (collectively, the "Objection Notice Parties") (with a courtesy copy delivered directly to the Chambers of the Honorable August B. Landis) so as to be actually received no later than February 24, 2014. Replies to timely-filed Objections, if any, shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court electronically and served so that they are received no later than March 3, 2014 (with a courtesy copy delivered directly to the Chambers of the Honorable August B. Landis) by the Objection Notice Parties and the objecting party.

DATED: ____, 2014.

Prepared and respectfully submitted by:

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

BRETT A. AXELROD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5859 MICAELA RUSTIA MOORE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9676 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

[Proposed] Counsel for Martifer Solar USA, Inc. and Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC

 $_{3}$ $\|$ $_{3}$

APPROVED/DISAPPROVED:

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE

BY____

J. Michal Bloom Trial Attorney for Acting U.S. Trustee Tracy Hope Davis

. _

CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 9021

In accordance with Local Rule 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order accurately reflects the court's ruling and that:

The Court has waived the requirement set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).

No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.

I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, and any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below:

I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this order with the motion, pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form or content of the order.

###